

Kink radiation on small discrete periodic damped driven Nonlinear sine-Gordon Arrays

C.R. Willis

*Department of Physics, Boston University, 590 Commonwealth Avenue,
Boston, Massachusetts 02215*

Abstract

In this paper, we explain the fundamental properties of the radiation processes of untrapped kinks moving on discrete lattices or any spatially periodic potential. In particular we explain qualitatively and quantitatively the interesting recent experiments of small discrete periodic dynamical arrays of Josephson junctions. We obtain and show the resonance condition for a kink to radiate phonons is the kink frequency or one of its harmonics $K_j \dot{X}$ where $\dot{X}$ is the velocity of the kink and the K_j are vectors of the reciprocal lattice must equal one of the phonon frequencies, $\omega(k)$, where k are the lattice vectors determined by the lattice spacing and the periodic boundary conditions. Then we show the radiation resonance conditions determine the voltage at line center in the experimental current-voltage ($I-V$) diagrams. We also show the phonon linewidth due to external damping and radiation damping gives rise to steps and hysteresis in the $I-V$ diagrams. In addition in the cases of more than one kink, say M kinks, there is a simple allowed value of k in contrast to the $M = 1$ case where there are at least as many resonances as there are phonon modes. We show the unique k_M for a given M is the allowed k such that the distance between the kinks on the ring is one wavelength i.e. $\lambda_M = (2\pi)k_M^{-1}$.

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to explain the fundamental properties of the radiation process of untrapped kinks moving on discrete lattices or any spatially, periodic potential. One of our principle aims is to explain the very interesting experiments and simulations of small discrete periodic dynamical arrays of Josephson junctions in a recent paper by Watanabe et al. [1]. In section II we first explain the radiation properties of kinks in the large (quasi-continuous phonon spectra) discrete sine-Gordon (SG) system because most of the fundamental kink radiation interactions are quite similar in the large and small systems. The solution of the kink radiation problem for the large discrete SG system by the rigorous collective variable (CV) theory including radiation from trapped kinks of [2] was found to be in excellent agreement with the simulations of the discrete SG even in the strongly nonperturbative regime. Unfortunately the full nonperturbative equations do not lead to a simple description of the radiative interactions. However when a perturbative treatment of the kink radiation process is valid we find we can understand the results in a simple intuitive way. The circular arrays of Josephson junction experiments are in a parameter range where the kink radiation interaction is treatable by perturbation theory between the center of the mass of the discrete SG kink $X(t)$, which satisfies the non linear Peirels-Nabarro (PN) equation of motion in the presence of the kink. The radiation phenomena that occur in the Josephson junction experiments and in the large discrete SG systems we analyze in this paper come from kinks that are not trapped in the PN but are almost free i.e., the kink kinetic energy is much larger than the kink potential energy.

An important early large discrete system radiation result for which perturbation theory is valid was that of [3] who simulated and analyzed a large discrete SG system and found for a variety of initial conditions that there were certain special velocities of the kink at which the rate of loss of energy due to radiation of phonons changed abruptly. They called these special velocities “knees.” They obtained numerical values for the velocity knees by a graphical solution of the dispersion law of the discrete SG which agreed with the simulations. In [2] we pointed out the velocity of the kink at the knees satisfied the condition $(2\pi j\dot{X}/a) \equiv K_j\dot{X} = \omega_0$, where ω_0 is the lower band edge of the phonon band, $\dot{X}$ is the velocity of the center of mass of the kink, a is the lattice spacing and j is an integer. In the present paper we show the radiation resonance conditions, $K_j\dot{X} = \omega_0$, for the large system is a spe-

cial case of the general radiation resonance conditions which play the crucial role determining the value of the voltages in the current-voltage characteristics in the small discrete periodic systems. The frequency $K_j\dot{X}$ is the j -th harmonic of $2\pi\dot{X}/a$, which we call the kink frequency, for reasons that will become clear in Sec. II. In the small discrete system there are a set of radiation resonance condition $K_j\dot{X}/a = \omega(k)$ where $\omega(k) = [\omega_0^2 + 4\sin^2 ka/2]^{1/2}$, k is the wave vector of the k th phonon mode, and the K_j are the reciprocal lattice vectors of our discrete lattice spacing of a . We show the large and small discrete systems radiation resonance conditions arise from the calculation of the radiation from a kink moving at approximately constant velocity, $\dot{X}$. In the large system the radiation resonance conditions give directly the value of the velocity knees $\dot{X}_j = \omega_0 K_j^{-1} a$. One of the conditions for the validity of perturbation theory of kink radiation is different in the large and small system cases. In the large system the phonon states form essentially a continuum of phonon states which leads to the requirement, $\omega_0 \tau_r \gg 1$, where τ_r is the radiation relaxation-time. This condition is satisfied in [3] and all the large systems considered in this paper. While in the small systems where because of the large spacing between phonon frequencies it is necessary to have a weak external dissipation term, $\Gamma \dot{\phi}$, in the discrete SG equation to provide the phonon line with a linewidth which leads to the requirements, $\Gamma \tau_r \gg 1$ which is satisfied in the experiments. In addition to Γ in the small system there is a contribution to the phonon linewidth due to spontaneous emission, $[\tau(k)]^{-1}$, where τ is the phonon lifetime which is a non linear function of k and $\dot{X}$. We gain further insight into the kink radiation problem by showing how the continuum SG with a spacially periodic perturbation, $\cos k'x \phi(x, t)$, which has been solved in perturbation theory and has a single knee at $k'\dot{X} = \omega_0$. This example makes clear the importance of spatial periodicity of the potential in the kink radiation problem by defining the kink frequency, $k'\dot{X}$ in the perturbed continuum. SG and $K_j\dot{X}$ in the discrete periodic lattice case.

In Sec. III, we show the number of degrees of freedom and the periodic boundary conditions determine the allowed wave vectors which together with the radiation resonance conditions determine the velocities of the kinks at the phonon line centers. The voltage is proportional to $\dot{X}$ which in turn is a non linear function of the driver I . Consequently we find I is a non linear function of V which leads to the steps in the $I - V$ diagrams. The non-linear dependence of I on V in small driven damped periodic rings leads to hysteresis between adjacent steps.

We find the perturbative treatment of the CV equations of motion explain quantitatively the main experimental and numerical results of Watanabe et al. [1] on rings of Josephson junctions who consider rings of $N = 4$ and $N = 8$ junctions with $M = 1, 2, 3$ and 4 kinks for various values of the discreteness parameter. In all cases the perturbation theory is valid and agrees with the experiments and simulations to within three percent. In addition in the cases of more than one kink, say M kinks, there is a simple allowed value of k in contrast to the $M = 1$ case where there are at least as many resonances as there are phonon modes. We show the unique k_M for a given M is the allowed k such that the distance between the kinks on the ring is one wavelength i.e. $\lambda_M = (2\pi)k_M^{-1}$.

II. RADIATION-RESONANCE CONDITIONS IN LARGE AND SMALL SYSTEMS

In this section we analyze the radiation mechanism of almost freely moving kinks in large and small discrete lattices where the perturbative effect of discreteness causes the kinks to radiate phonons. The continuum SG in dimensionless variables is :

$$\ddot{\phi} - \phi'' + (\pi/l_o)^2 \sin \phi = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

where the dot represents time derivative, the prime represents spatial derivative and $(\pi/l_o)^2$ is the dimensionless constant. The size of the kink in these variables $\sim l_o$. The dimensionless parameter π/l_o is the slope of the kink and $(\omega_o = \pi/l_o)$ is the frequency of the lower phonon band edge. A complete discussion of the units is given in [2]. The discrete SG in the same units with the lattice spacing $a = 1$ is :

$$\ddot{\phi}_n - \Delta_2 \phi_n + (\pi/l_o)^2 \sin \phi_n = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\Delta_2 A_n = A_{n+1} + A_{n-1} - 2A_n$$

The values $(\pi/l_o) > 1$ are considered discrete and the continuum limit corresponds to $\pi/l_o \ll 1$. We assume a solution in the form $\phi_n = f_n + q_n$ where $f_n = 4 \tan^{-1} \exp[(\pi/l_o)(n - X(t))]$ and $\sum_2 q_j f'_j = 0$ and $\sum p_j f'_j = 0$ are the constraints where f'_j is the derivative of f_j with respect to $X(t)$ and is called the shape mode. The derivation of the complete CV equations of

motion for $q_n(t)$ and $X(t)$ are given in [2.] In all cases we consider the kink radiation interaction can be treated perturbatively. Consequently we need only the lowest order theory namely the nonlinear equation for the center of the mass $X(t)$ in the Peirels-Nabarro PN potential and the linear equations for the phonons q_n on the presence of the kink. The lowest order equation of motion for $X(t)$ is

$$M(X)\ddot{X} = -\sum_n f'_n[\Delta_2 f_n - (\pi/l_o)^2 \sin f_n] + \frac{1}{2}\dot{X}^2 \frac{d}{dX} \sum_n (f'_n)^2$$

and terms linear in q see Eq. (2.11) of [2], where $M(x) \equiv \sum (f'_n)^2 = M_o + M(X) = 8(\pi/l_o) + \Delta M(X)$.

For the levels of discreteness in the experiments and simulations we analyze in this paper $\Delta M(X) \ll M_o$ thus we can replace $M(X)$ by M_o and we can neglect the $\dot{X}^2$ term. The linear terms in q in the X equation represent induced absorption and emission terms which are negligible compared with the spontaneous emission term in the experiments and simulations analyzed in this paper. Finally we obtain

$$\ddot{X} = -(M_o)^{-1} \sum_n f'_n[\Delta_2 f_n - (\pi/l_o)^2 \sin f_n] \equiv \sum_j C_j \sin 2\pi j X(t)/a \quad (2.3)$$

The dominant term in C_j goes as $C_j \exp(-j\pi l_o)$. Thus C_j decreases with increasing j and l_o . Thus as the size of the kink, $2l_o$, increases compared with a , $C_j \rightarrow 0$ and in this limit of the continuum the force due to discreteness vanishes. For appreciable discreteness effects the size of the kink should be less then six or seven lattice spacings. The linearized equations of motion for the q_n are:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{q}_n - \Delta_2 q_n + [(\pi/l_o)^2 \cos 2\pi f_n] q_n &= \ddot{X} f'_n + \Delta_2 f_n - (\pi/l_o)^2 \sin 2\pi f_n \\ &\equiv \sum_j d_j(n) \sin 2\pi j X/a \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

The closed coupled set of equations for $\ddot{X}$ and $\ddot{q}_n$ Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) constitute the lowest order CV equations which explain the spontaneous emission of a SG kink in a discrete lattice. The normal modes and eigenvalues

for the q_n when the right hand side of Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) are set equal to zero are

$$\omega^2(k) = (\pi/l_o)^2 + 4 \sin^2(k/2)$$

and

$$\psi_k(n) = [2\pi\omega(k)]^{-1} \exp[k\pi n/l_o] \{ik - \tanh[\pi n/l_o]\} \quad (2.5)$$

The phonon modes in the presence of the kink, Eq. (2.5) are orthogonal to the shape mode of the kink f'_n . Note the spectra $\omega(k)$ is the same whether the kink is present or not. Strictly speaking Eq. (2.5) and $\omega(k)$ are rigorously true in the continuum limit and approximately true in the weakly and moderately discrete region. All of the experiments and simulations we consider in this paper are in the moderately and weakly discrete limit. In the extreme discrete limit $\pi/l_o > 1$ where the kink is only two or three lattice spacings the eigenfunction and eigenvalues are not known analytically but only numerically because in the extreme discrete limit the ground state is only known numerically. We thus have a model of a point “particle” $X(t)$ with Hamiltonian

$$H_\kappa = \frac{P_X^2}{2M_X} + V_{PN}(X) \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$V_{PN}(X) \equiv \sum C_j (2\pi j)^{-1} [1 - \cos 2\pi j X/a]$$

spontaneously emitting phonons through the terms on the right hand side of Eq. (2.4). The PN frequency $\omega_{PN}^2 = \sum C_j^2 2\pi j$. From perturbation theory the energy of the kink plus the energy of the phonons is conserved. There are two kinds of spontaneous emission of phonons. The first comes from a kink trapped in a PN well, i.e., when $\frac{P_X^2}{M_X} < V_{PN}(X)$ and the kink radiates harmonics of ω_{PN} where $m\omega_{PN} > \omega_o$ and m is an integer. This is anharmonic radiation which is treated in detail in [2] and plays no role in the phenomena analyzed in this paper. The phonon radiation mechanism which explains the phenomena analyzed in this paper is determined by the frequency which the center of mass of the kink, $X(t)$, passes the lattice points separated by a distance a apart when the kink velocity is approximately constant which is $\Omega = 2\pi\dot{X}/a \equiv K\dot{X}$, which we call the kink frequency, and its harmonics $\Omega_j = 2\pi j\dot{X}/a \equiv K_j\dot{X}$. Which can also be seen by setting $X(t) \approx \dot{X}t$ in $\sin 2\pi j X(t)/a$ which becomes $\sin 2\pi(K_j\dot{X})t \equiv \sin 2\pi\Omega_j t$. Note this frequency is determined only by the periodicity of the lattice and does not depend on the strength of interaction as ω_{PN} does. The K_j are the

vectors of the reciprocal lattice. For radiation of frequency Ω the kink has to be essentially free in the sense that the kinetic energy is appreciably greater than the potential energy i.e., $\frac{P_X^2}{2M_X} \gg V_{PN}$. In order to see the role played by Ω in kink radiation processes consider the solution for

$$\phi_n(t) = \sum \psi_k(n) \exp[-i\omega(k)t] \sum_j C_{jk} \int_0^t dt' \exp i[\omega(k)t' - 2\pi j \dot{X}(t')/a] \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$C_{jk} \equiv \sum_n d_j(n) \psi_k^*(n).$$

Now if we can treat the kink as a free particle for times t small compared with the radiation relaxation time but long with a suitably short interaction time we can replace $X(t)$ by $\dot{X}t$ in Eq. (2.7) and obtain the usual delta function of perturbation theory exactly as in Fermi's golden rule. In the large system the phonon levels become continuous and the interaction time is ω_0^{-1} . When we let $t \rightarrow \infty$ in Eq. (2.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n, t) &= \int dk \psi_k(n) \exp[-i\omega(k)t] \sum_j C_{jk} \delta[\omega(k) - 2\pi j \dot{X}/a] \\ &= \sum_j \psi_{k(j)}(n) \exp[-i2\pi j \dot{X}/a] t C_{jk(j)} \omega[k(j)]/k(j) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where $k(j)$ is the solution for k of the radiation resonance condition $\Omega_j = \omega[k(j)]$, and where for convenience we have taken units where $\pi/l_0 = 1$. Thus for a given j , say $j = 1$, our solution, $\phi(n, t)$ is a single normal mode $\psi_{k(1)}(n)$ with a single frequency $2\pi \dot{X}/a$ which is the phonon frequency radiated by the kink which is resonant with the kink frequency $K_j \dot{X}$. The general solution is a linear combination of those phonons in the phonon band which are resonant with the kink frequency and its harmonics $K_j \dot{X}$. The damped driven small system does not have a delta function radiation resonance condition but a Lorentzian centered on the resonance condition with a finite linewidth. We discuss the effect of the finite linewidth on Secs. III and IV. The apparent divergence of Eq.(2.8) at $k = 0$ is spurious and is not a breakdown of perturbation theory. It is a breakdown of the assumption that $\dot{X}(t)$ is a constant which is true everywhere except at the band edge where the time dependence of $\dot{X}(t)$ has to be considered within perturbation theory. For a full discussion of the treatment of $\dot{X}(t)$ in the large system

see [4]. The spurious divergence does not arise in the small discrete system because of the external damping $\Gamma\dot{\phi}_n$.

For each phonon mode, $\omega(k)$, there will be a set of velocities $\dot{X}$ such that $\Omega_j = \omega(k)$. The ratio of successive kink velocities resonant with a given $\omega(k)$ is $(X_{j+1}/X_j) = (j/j+1)$. The number of harmonics Ω_j and the number of kink velocities that correspond to a given phonon mode, $\omega(k)$ is determined by the discreteness parameter (π/l_0) . As the system becomes more discrete i.e., as l_0 decreases the more C_j will contribute to Eq. (2.3) for V_{PN} resulting in more harmonics Ω_j contributing to the radiation by the kink. However as the system becomes more discrete the PN potential well will become deeper and the kink becomes trapped sooner, the range of velocities for which $\frac{P_x^2}{2M_x} \gg V_{PN}$ decrease leading to an upper limit on the j values such that the “free condition” is valid. When Ω_j lies in the phonon band the kink loses energy by radiation of phonons. The unperturbed energy of the kink plus phonons is conserved. When the velocity of the kink decays to $X_j = (2\pi j)^{-1}\omega_0$ the kink abruptly stops radiating at the frequency Ω_j because there are no phonon states $\omega(k) < \omega_0$. This sharp decrease in the rate of loss of energy by the kink is called a knee in Ref. [3]. The remaining radiation comes from higher harmonics $\Omega_{j'} = 2\pi j'\dot{X}/a$ where $j' > j$ which are still in the band and still radiating. When the velocity of the kink decays further to $\dot{X}_{j+1} = [2\pi(j+1)]^{-1}\omega_0$ the kink stops radiating the $j+1$ harmonic causing the next knee in the radiation rate. The pattern is repeated until the kink loses enough energy to be trapped in a PN well where it will radiate the harmonics of ω_{PN} at a much lower rate.

Peyrard and Kruskal [3] simulated and analyzed the discrete SG for a large system and found for a variety of parameter values $(\pi/l_0 = .9, 1, \text{ and } 1.05)$ and initial values of the velocity that there were one, two or three knees. They obtained numerical values for the velocity knees by a graphical solution of the discrete SG dispersion law which agreed with their simulations to better than one percent. Their numerical values for the three knees are given by our radiation resonance condition for $j = 1, 2$ and 3 to within one percent. The velocity of the j th knee is given by $\dot{X}_j = (2\pi j)^{-1}\omega_0$ as was pointed out in [2].

There is one continuum SG kink radiation problem that gives further insight into the general kink radiation problem and that is the model of the continuum SG with a spatially periodic perturbation potential which was

analyzed in Ref. [4]. The perturbed SG equation is

$$\ddot{\phi} - \phi'' + (\pi/l_0)^2(1 + \epsilon \sin k'x) \sin \phi = 0$$

where ϵ is a small dimensionless parameter and k' is the wave number of the perturbation. The competing length scales are l_0^{-1} and a i.e., the size of the kink and the lattice spacing. When we go to a CV description [4] by making the ansatz $\phi = \sigma[(\pi/l_0)(x - X(t))] + \chi[(\pi/l_0)(x - X(t)), t]$ where σ is the kink solution of Eq. (2.8) when $\epsilon = 0$ and to lowest order in ϵ we obtain the following coupled equations of motion

$$\ddot{\chi} - \chi'' + (\pi/l_0)^2 \cos \sigma \chi = -\epsilon(\pi/l_0)^2 \sin k'X$$

and

$$\ddot{X} + \omega^2 \sin k'X = 0$$

where

$$\omega^2 = (\epsilon/l_0)(k'l_0/2)^2[\sin(k'l_0/2)]^{-1}.$$

The equation for X is the pendulum equation. In [4] the radiation and $X(t)$ are calculated analytically. The relevance of this example for the present discrete case is that the $\ddot{X}$ equation is of the same form as Eq. (2.3) with $C_1 = \omega^2$ and $C_j = 0$ for $j \geq 2$. Consequently only one velocity knee occurs i.e., when $\dot{X} = (\omega_0/k')$ which is the same as our radiation resonance result for $K_1 = k'$. The kink radiates phonons at frequency $\omega(k)$ and wave number k such that $k'\dot{X} = \omega(k)$. The example of the continuum SG kink in the $(\epsilon \sin k'x)\phi$ perturbation makes clear that the kink frequency which is resonant with the phonon frequency $\omega(k)$ when $X(t) \sim \dot{X}t$ is determined by the spatial periodicity of the perturbing potential which is K_j^{-1} in the discrete case and $(k')^{-1}$ in the perturbed continuum case. The resonance condition arises in the same way in both cases i.e., $\delta[\omega(k) - k'\dot{X}]$ and $\delta[\omega(k) - K_j\dot{X}]$ after setting $X(t) \approx \dot{X}t$. We next consider the kink in small finite systems which satisfy periodic boundary conditions as in the experiments with Josephson junction rings, [1], where cases of 4 and 8 degrees of freedom with 1,2,3 or 4 kinks are treated. In the small discrete periodic systems the kink interacts with the radiation it has spontaneously emitted. However, the induced absorption and emission processes are much smaller than the dominant spontaneous emission process and don't play a significant role. They have the same radiation resonance condition as the spontaneous emission process.

One difference appears in the small periodic system is the phenomena of hysteresis which we discuss in Sec. IV.

In the Josephson junction rings experiments the kink radiation interactions are perturbative which implies the phonon eigenmodes and eigenvalues are those of the linear phonon equations in the presence of the kink. Note the number of modes and the spectra $\omega(k)$ are unaffected by the presence of the kink. Since many discussions of the experiments often have an incorrect number of modes and frequencies of the modes it is worth while to provide a brief elementary review [5]. The phonon modes in a lattice of spacing a with N particles satisfying periodic boundary conditions have displacements $u(sa)$ where s is an integer which satisfy $u[sa] = u[sa + L] = u[(s + N)a]$ where $L = Na$. The solution for u is $u[sa, t] = u[0]e^{i[sk a - \omega(k)t]}$ where $k = 0, \pm 2\pi/L, \pm 4\pi/L \cdots N\pi/L$ and $\omega(k) = [1 + 4 \sin^2(ka/2)]^{1/2}$ and where for convenience we use units where $(\pi/l_o) = 1$.

The number of phonon modes is equal to the number of particles N which is also the number of k values i.e., $k = 0, \pm \cdots \pm (N - 2)\pi/L, N\pi/L$ where the modes $k = 0$ and $N\pi/L$ are non degenerate and the remaining modes are two fold degenerate with one mode traveling in the clockwise direction and one in the counterclockwise direction with the same frequency $\omega(k) = \omega(-k)$. The $k = 0$ mode corresponds to all N particles oscillating in phase at the frequency ω_0 . The $k = \pi$ corresponding to $\lambda = 2$, where each particle oscillates 180 degrees out of phase with its nearest neighbor at a frequency of $(\omega_0^2 + 4)^{1/2}$. The number of different phonon frequencies $\omega(k)$ is $[(N - 2)/2 + 2] = (N/2) + 1$. For a typical case of $N = 8$ there are five different frequencies $\omega(0)$, $\omega(\pi/4)$, $\omega(\pi/2)$, $\omega(3\pi/4)$, and $\omega(\pi)$ where we set $a = 1$. The crucial point is that in the radiation resonance condition, $K_j X = \omega(k)$, that the K_j are vectors of the reciprocal lattice and are completely different than the vectors k that determine the phonon modes of the lattice. As an illustration consider the case $N = 8$, $j = 1$ and $M = 1$. For each of the five different values $\omega(k)$ there will be one velocity of the kink which is resonant namely, $\dot{X}(k) = a(2\pi)^{-1}\omega(k)$ for a total of five different velocities. The next question that arises is given the number of phonon frequencies is fixed by N i.e., $(N/2) + 1$, how many different kink velocities can be resonant with the five modes. The answer is determined by the number of j values that contribute to V_{PN} without the kink being trapped. For each j value there are $[(N/2) + 1]$ velocities so the answer is $j[(N/2) + 1]$ different values of X and thus the same number of voltages would be possible. The number of j values is determined by the discreteness parameter π/l_o .

There is a theorem [6] which relates the total number of degrees of freedom to the number of kinks and phonons which states the total number of degrees of freedom N must satisfy $N = N_K + \int \rho(\omega) d\omega$ where N_K is the number of kinks and $\int \rho(\omega) d\omega$ is the effective number of phonon degrees of freedom with $\rho(\omega)$ the phonon density of states. This theorem does not reduce the number of eigenfrequencies, ω_α , but their effective weight i.e., in our case $\rho(\omega) = \sum_\alpha C_\alpha \delta(\omega - \omega_\alpha)$ thus we have $N = N_K + \sum C_\alpha$. The states ω_α are the same as in the absence of the kink but the effective number of phonon states is $\sum C_\alpha = N - N_K$ reduced from the N it would be in the absence of kinks.

In this section we have seen the radiation resonance condition at line center, $K_j \dot{X} = \omega(k)$ is the same in the large discrete periodic system. The number of j values i.e. harmonics of $2\pi \dot{X}/a$ is determined by the boundary conditions and the dispersion law for $\omega(k)$ is the same in both cases. The small periodic system requires external damping to justify radiation perturbation theory while in the infinite system the quasi continuous density of states is sufficient. We defer to Sec. IV a discussion of hysteresis which occurs in the small periodic system and for which there is no analogue in the large system.

III. ANALYSIS OF JOSEPHSON JUNCTION RING EXPERIMENTS

In a recent paper Watanabe et al. [1] presented an analyzed experimental measurements and simulation results for the current-voltage (IV) characteristics of rings of 4 and 8 underdamped Josephson junctions using a model of the damped driven SG equation with periodic boundary conditions. The SG equation in their notation is $\ddot{\Phi}_n + \Gamma \dot{\Phi}_n + \sin \Phi_n - \Lambda^2 \Delta_2 \Phi_n = I/I_c$ where Γ is the damping and I/I_c is the damped driven form of Eq. (2.2) the dimensionless parameter Λ^2 is associated with the Δ_2 term while in Eq. (2.2) the parameter is associated with the nonlinear $\sin \phi_n$ term. They found two types of traveling waves low velocity kinks and high velocity whirling modes. In this paper we are concerned only with the low velocity kink modes. Their analysis of the behavior of the low velocity kinks in their words “consists of rough physical arguments that are far from rigorous.” In this section we show the CV radiation perturbation theory in Sec. II correctly explains the number of peaks and their position in the I-V graphs very accurately. In particular the

experimental peaks are determined by the phonon normal modes, the kink frequencies, $2\pi j\dot{X}/a$, the radiation resonance, condition, $2\pi j\dot{X}/a = \omega(k)$, as presented in Sec. II.

In order to explain the I-V diagrams we first review the voltage phase relationship as given in Eq. (2.16) of [1] as the Josephson relationship $V_j = V_0\dot{\Phi}_j/\Lambda$ where for a kink $\dot{\Phi}_j = \Phi'_j\dot{X}$ which shows for a kink traveling with a constant velocity that V_j for each j is $\sim X$. For a phonon normal mode all the $\dot{\Phi}_j \sim \omega(k)$ and thus we have $V_j \sim \omega(k)$. For a kink moving at constant velocity $\dot{X} = a/T$, where T is the time to travel the lattice spacing a , we have the kink frequency $\omega = 2\pi\dot{X}/a$ in the dimensionless units of Eq.(2.2). Thus the space and time average of $V_j/V_0 \equiv V/V_0$ for a constant velocity kink is $V/V_0 = 2\pi\dot{X}/a$ or $V/V_0 = \omega/\Lambda$ in the dimensionless units of [2.2]. In the case of a phonon frequency we have $V/V_0 = \omega(k)/\Lambda \equiv [\Lambda^{-2} + 4\sin^2(ka/2)]^{1/2} = [\omega_o^2 + 4\sin^2(ka/2)]^{1/2}$ where in the last equality we used the relationship between the two dimensionless set of variables of [2.2] and [3.1] where $(\pi/l_o)^2 = \Lambda^{-2}$. However because of the radiation resonance condition the frequency of the phonon and thus $V/V_0 = 2\pi\dot{X}/a = [\omega_o^2 + 4\sin^2(ka/2)]^{1/2}$ which is true independent of space time averaging.

Thus the line center of each phonon line, $\omega(k)$, determines a unique value of the voltage V . Since $\dot{X}$ is a nonlinear function of I we have an $I(V)$ for each phonon line. We show how the finite phonon linewidth in a small discrete periodic systems leads to the full $I(V)$ lines and hysteresis later in this and the next section. We also point out that the preceding conclusion for $V/V_0 = 2\pi\dot{X}/a = \omega(k)$ is valid also for the harmonics of the kink frequency i.e. $V/V_0 = 2\pi j\dot{X}/a = \omega(k)$ for j an integer as long as for those j values the kink is untrapped as discussed in Sec. II.

In order to avoid all effects of space time averaging I compare the ratio of the experimental voltages to the ratio of the corresponding phonon frequencies and thus to the ratio of the kink velocities. I now show the CV kink-radiation theory of [2,3] determines the number and position of the voltage lines in the I-V diagrams for all the experiments and simulations including new results for the multi kink cases. We consider the individual cases one at a time. The first case we consider is a single kink, $M = 1$, $N = 8$ junctions, discreteness parameter $\Lambda = 1.48$ and $\Gamma = 0.13$ in fig.10 of [1]. In fig. 10 there are five peaks in the I-V diagram determined by the five minima of the $\frac{d(V/V_c)}{d(I/I_c)}$ curve which corresponds to the correct five phonon frequencies for $N = 8$ junctions with periodic boundary conditions. (On Fig.

10 a sixth point is indicated to be a minimum but does not appear to be from the figure.) We next show that the measured voltage ratios agree with the corresponding, phonon frequency ratios to about 2.5%. The ratio we calculate are

$$\left| \frac{\omega(k+1)/\omega(k) - V(k+1)/V(k)}{\omega(k+1)/\omega(k)} \right| \quad (3.2)$$

where the $\omega(k)$ are given by Eq.(2.5) for $k = 0, \pm\pi/4, \pm\pi/2, \pm3\pi/4, \pi$ and the voltage ratios are obtained from the experimental values given in Fig. 10. The ratios of the successive calculated phonon frequencies are

$$\frac{\omega(\pi/4)}{\omega(0)} = 1.513, \quad \frac{\omega(\pi/2)}{\omega(\pi/4)} = 1.54, \quad \frac{\omega(3\pi/4)}{\omega(\pi/2)} = 1.26, \quad \frac{\omega(\pi)}{\omega(3\pi/4)} = 1.07$$

while the corresponding experimental values of the four voltages are

$$\frac{V(1)}{V(0)} = 1.47, \quad \frac{V(2)}{V(1)} = 1.54, \quad \frac{V(3)}{V(2)} = 1.29, \quad \frac{V(4)}{V(3)} = 1.107$$

where $V(0)$ is the voltage corresponding to $\omega(k)$ for $k = 0$ and the minima of $\frac{d(V/V_c)}{d(I/I_c)}$, $V(1)$ is the second minima of $\frac{d(V/V_0)}{d(I/I_0)}$ corresponding to $\omega(\pi/4)$ etc. When we substitute the phonon frequencies $\omega(k+1)/\omega(k)$ and voltages $V(k+1)/V(k)$ in Eq.(3.2) we obtained for the relative percentages 2.8%, 2.6% and 3% for an average 2.5% which demonstrates impressive agreement with the resonance condition $2\pi X/a = \omega(k)$. Our results confirm that when $V(k)/V_0$ is plotted versus the five k values you obtain the function $[\omega_0^2 + 4\sin^2(ka/2)]^{1/2}$ evaluated at the corresponding k value as you should. The discreteness parameter in Fig.10, $\Lambda = 1.48$, is not sufficiently small enough to cause the discreteness required to see the $j = 2$ harmonics in $2\pi j\dot{X}/a = \omega(k)$. However when Watanabe et al simulated the $I-V$ curve with $M = 1$, $N = 8$, $\Gamma = .02$ and a smaller and thus more discrete $\Lambda^2 = 1$ there were indications of two $j = 2$ lines in their Fig. 11. The voltage of the line they labeled $m = 15$ is one half of the voltage of the line $m = 7$ and the voltage of their $m = 9$ line is one half of the $m = 6$ line. The agreement of the ratios is less than three percent. If the two lines $m = 15$ and $m = 9$ are $j = 2$ lines than there are only three $j = 1$ lines instead of the 5 $j = 1$ lines remaining. When we assign the three remaining lines as follows $\omega(0) \leftrightarrow$ line 8, $\omega(\pi/4) \leftrightarrow$ line 7 and $\omega(3\pi/4) \leftrightarrow$ line 6 their ratios compare with the simulation ratios for V_8 , V_7 , and V_6 and voltages in Eq. (3.2) to better than three percent. Furthermore the frequencies $\omega(3\pi/4)$ and $\omega(\pi)$ are within six percent of each

other and Fig. 11 is such that the I and V values are not carried out far enough to be able to see the full $\omega(3\pi/4)$ and $\omega(\pi)$ lines. Assuming that this is correct then we have 4 $j = 1$ lines and 2 $j = 2$ lines that agree well with the radiation resonance condition $2\pi j\dot{X} = \omega(k)$. There is one problem and that is the missing $j = 1$ line, the $\omega(\pi/2)$ line does not appear on the numerically calculated I-V curve in Fig. 11. Its absence is unexplained and consequently all I can conclude is that we are probably seeing 4 $j = 1$ and 2 $j = 2$ lines.

In addition to performing experiments on $N = 8$ junction systems Watanabe et al did a thorough study on an $N = 4$ system with one kink, $\Lambda^2 = 1$ and $\Gamma = 1$. The allowed k values are $k = 0, \pm\pi/2$ and π with frequencies $\omega(0) = 1$, $\omega(\pi/2) = 1.73$, and $\omega(\pi) = 2.24$. For $N = 4$ there should be and are three frequencies in their Fig. 9. When we take the measured voltages in Fig. 9 and form the ratios in Eq.(3.2) we obtain the first ratio agrees to within two percent and the second ratio is essentially exact so the average agreement with the phonon resonance condition is one percent. The authors in [1] provide further insight into the above $N = 4$ system with the same parameters in their Fig.(8) by plotting $\phi_j(t)$ vs. t for each of the four junctions. From the figure you can see that the $\phi_j(t)$ is a superposition of a kink and a phonon with wavelength $\lambda = 4a$, where $4a$ is the size of the system, which means the k of the phonon is $\pi/2$ because $ka = \pi/2 \Rightarrow \lambda = 4a$. Consequently the velocity of the kink is $\dot{X}/a = (2\pi)^{-1}\omega(\pi/2) = (2\pi)^{-1}(3)^{1/2}$. We can represent the kink and phonon configuration as $\leftarrow x \rightarrow \rightarrow \leftarrow$ as it appears in Fig.8 where x is the center of the kink and the arrows indicate the phase of the $k = \pi/2$ phonon mode at the four junctions, and there is a change of ϕ of 2π over the four junctions. Thus Fig. 8 is a beautiful conformation of the fact that the kink and the phonon have exactly the same frequency $2\pi\dot{X}/a = \omega(\pi/2)$ as they travel around the ring. Next we consider the multiple kink case and find a completely new effect in which the spacing of kinks in the ring allow only certain k value for the resonant phonons which we can explain by the CV radiation theory. The case of multiple kinks treated in Fig. 4 for the parameters $N = 8$, $\Lambda^2 = 4$, $\Gamma = .17$, and for $M = 1, 2, 3$ and 4 kinks. The value $\Lambda^2 = 4$ (which is only weakly discrete) corresponds to a kink size, $4\pi a$ which is larger than $8a$, the size of the system, which means all the kinks overlap each other. In the continuum the SG is integrable and thus the kinks do not interact with each other. In the present weakly discrete case the discreteness is effectively a perturbation which destroys the integrability and each kink interacts with all other kinks weakly because they all overlap each other. It

would be a difficult problem to work out the solution of the equations of motion for N interacting radiating kinks traveling around the ring. However as we see below we can infer the kinks travel around the ring keeping equal spaces between each other. What is important is that the phonon modes are independent of the number of kinks and are the same as the modes in the absence of the kinks which is a consequence of the fact that kink-phonon interaction in all cases in this paper can be treated perturbatively. For the present case, $\Lambda^2=4$, the kink phonon interaction is sufficiently weak that only the lowest harmonic, $j = 1$, is observed. For $N = 8$ as before there after five frequencies that correspond to the k values $k = 0, \pm\pi/4a, \pm\pi/2a, \pm3\pi/4a$, and $\pm\pi/a$.

We have as before $(V/V_0) = (\omega/\Lambda) = [\Lambda^{-2} + 4\sin^2(ka/2)]^{1/2} = [\omega_0^2 + 4\sin^2(ka/2)]^{1/2} = (2\pi M\dot{X}/a\omega_0)$. The fourth equality is the radiation resonance condition for M kinks. The only changes for $M \geq 2$ from the $M = 1$ case is that the phase change for M kinks is equal to $2\pi M$, (2π) for each kink and the corresponding dimensionless frequency is $2\pi M\dot{X}/a\omega_0$, where all kinks have the same velocity. The total rate of change of phase for a given X is M times the rate of change of one kink, i.e., $2\pi\dot{X}/a\omega_0$.

In the experiment reported in Fig. 4 of [1] there are a total of four lines one for each of the four values of M i.e., $M = 1, 2, 3, 4$. When we make the assignment $V(M)/V_0 = [\omega_0^2 + 4\sin^2(k_M a/2)]^{1/2} = 2\pi M\dot{X}_M/\omega_0 a$ where $k_1 = \pi/4a, k_2 = \pi/2a, k_3 = 3\pi/4a$ and $k_4 = \pi/a$ we find the experimental values of $V(M+1)/V(M)$ agree with the calculated frequency ratios $\omega(k_M+1)/\omega(k_M)$ to within two percent. Consequently the frequency assignments $\omega(M)$ are clearly correct. The question arises why is there only one frequency for each value of M and why is that the frequency corresponding to the assigned values $k_2 = (\pi/2a), k_3 = (3\pi/4a)$, and $k_4 = (\pi/a)$. We find the answer by finding the wavelength λ_M where $k_M = 2\pi/\lambda_M$. For $M = 2$ $\lambda_2 = 4a$, for $M = 3$ $\lambda_3 = 8a/3$, and for $M = 4$ $\lambda_4 = 2a$. Thus we find the wavelength of the resonant phonon for each M resonance is exactly the spacing between each other of the M kinks assuming the kinks are evenly spaced i.e., for $M = 2$ kinks the spacing between the kinks is $4a$, $M = 3$ the spacing is $8\pi/3$ and $M = 4$ the spacing is $2a$ in agreement with the k_M values. (Recall the total length of the ring is $8a$) The explanation of the results are that the kinks are the source of radiation in the linear inhomogenous wave equation for the phonons. We have already seen that the frequencies in the source are the phonon frequencies $2\pi M\dot{X}/a$ for each M but when we have $M \geq 2$ we also have a spatial dependence in the source namely the equal

spacing between the kinks which determine the k values of the phonons. That is the spatial properties of the phonons radiated by the kinks are determined by the spatial dependence of the source which is given by the arrangement of the M kinks rotating around the ring. The only assumption is that for $M \geq 2$ the kinks moving around the ring are evenly spaced. One would have to solve the full M interacting kinks and radiation problem to prove the assumption. Alternatively one could reasonably conclude that in the solution the kinks were evenly spaced by the agreement of the experimental results with the assumption of equal spacing.

Up to point we have been using the radiation resonance condition to determine the voltage at the line center of the line on the $I - V$ diagram. In the infinite discrete SG equation the phonon band is a continuum and the radiation resonance condition $2\pi j\dot{X}/a = \omega_0$ picks out a definite value of X because the phonon line is sharp. In the small system case we have a discrete, damped and driven SG, Eq.(3.1), so that the valid perturbation theory leads to a Lorentzian line shape for the phonon line which in turn generates the step in the $I - V$ curves. There are two causes for the linewidth in small discrete systems. First the damping term $\Gamma\varphi_j$ gives a contribution to the linewidth proportional to Γ and contributes to each mode in the same way. The second mechanism is the radiation linewidth due to spontaneous emission, $\tau^{-1}(k)$, which is different for each k thus causing the linewidth of $\omega(k)$ to depend on k . In addition, $\tau^{-1}(k)$ is a nonlinear function of X . As a result, the delta function that appears in the infinite system case $\delta[2\pi j\dot{X}/a - \omega(k)]$ becomes a Lorentzian in the damped driven small system, case

$$[(2\pi j\dot{X}/a - \omega(k))^2 + (\Gamma + \tau^{-1}(k))^2]^{-1}(\Gamma + \tau^{-1}(k)) \quad (3.3)$$

The voltage V is proportional to X which is a nonlinear function of I through $\tau(k)$. Consequently in the small system V is a nonlinear function of I which leads to the nonlinear $I - V$ curves in the damped driven small system. The curves in the $I - V$ diagrams for different $\omega(k)$ thus have different widths and the lines from adjacent $\omega(k)$ overlap each other leading to a step structure and hysteresis. We explain the step structure and hysteresis in more detail in the next section.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In summary we have shown when the kink-phonon interaction can be treated perturbatively that we can treat the discrete SG or (any discrete non linear Klein-Gordon equation) as a “point particle,” $X(t)$ interacting with a gas of non interacting phonons where the effective frequency of the kink is $\Omega = 2\pi\dot{X}/a = K\dot{X}$ and its harmonics $\Omega_j = j\Omega$ where j is an integer. The resonance conditions are $\Omega_j = \omega(k)$. In the large system the phonon band is effectively a continuum and the resonance condition appears as a delta function $\delta[\Omega_j - \omega(k)]$. In the small system we have a Lorentzian with the same resonance condition and a linewidth $(\Gamma + \tau^{-1}(k))$. For the perturbation theory to be valid the system must be discrete enough for a moving kink to produce radiation but not so discrete that the kink gets trapped in a PN well or that nonlinear phonon-phonon interactions become important.

In particular we have explained the experiments and simulations of a small discrete periodic dynamically arrays of Josephson junctions in [1]. Watanabe et. al [1] ended their paper by listing three open problems requiring further research in the low voltage region of small discrete Josephson junction arrays. In the present paper we have solved all three problems. Their first problem requiring solution was can one find the correct resonance condition and derive it. The correct answer which we obtained in this paper is, $2\pi j\dot{X}/a = \Omega_j = \omega(k)$, i.e., the kink frequency is equal to the phonon frequency which is the usual radiation resonance condition that comes from the first Born approximation.

Their second problem to be answered was to find a precise characterization of the steps in the low voltage region of the $I - V$ diagram. The steps in the $I - V$ diagrams are a direct consequence of the kink phonon interactions. The separation of the phonon states in the small Josephson junction rings is comparable or greater than their width $\Gamma + \tau^{-1}(k)$. Since τ depends on k the width of the steps are different for different k . Consider the driver I driving the kink at a velocity $\dot{X}$ such that the kink frequency $2\pi\dot{X}/a$ is in the region roughly between the phonon frequencies $\omega(k-1)$ and $\omega(k)$. Then practically all the energy from the driver goes into increasing the velocity from the kink. As we increase I then $\dot{X}$ (which is proportional to the voltage V) increases until eventually $2\pi\dot{X}/a$ comes into the linewidth of $\omega(k)$ and as the kink frequency approaches $\omega(k)$ the kink begins to radiate. Thus I has to increase rather sharply to provide energy to the kink to compensate for the energy loss of the kink due to its radiation of phonons and to prevent

$\dot{X}$ from decreasing. Consequently there is a sharp increase in I for approximately the same V i.e., $\dot{X}$. As we increase I further $\dot{X}$ starts to increase thus it leaves the center of the $\omega(k)$ line where the radiation from the $\omega(k)$ line decreases to zero. Now as I increases nearly all the energy from I goes into again increasing $\dot{X}$ until the kink frequency enters the wing of the $\omega(k+1)$ line and the whole process repeats itself. Thus we have a precise qualitative and quantitative characterization of the steps.

In the previous paragraph for convenience I discussed the steps as if the linewidths of $\omega(k)$ and $\omega(k+1)$ had a small overlap in the wings of the lines. However the wings of the lines can overlap a nontrivial amount and the explanation of the formation of the steps remains valid but a new phenomena appears namely hysteresis. We can understand the phenomena of hysteresis by the following argument. In each step $\omega(k)$ the driver is a different non-linear function of voltage because $\tau(k)$ depends on both k and $\dot{X}$. Or stated differently the non linear function $I(V)$ is a different function for each allowed k . When the linewidths of $\omega(k)$ and $\omega(k+1)$ overlap in their respective wings there are two stable states with the same $\dot{X}$, i.e., voltage for a given I corresponding to the $\tau(k)$ of $\omega(k)$ and $\tau(k+1)$ of $\omega(k+1)$. Starting at the line center of $\omega(k)$ as I is increased $\dot{X}$ increases and the ω linewidth becomes less stable and the $\omega(k+1)$ linewidth becomes more stable until the stability of the $\omega(k)$ vanishes and the system jumps to the $\omega(k+1)$ line. Instead if you start at the line center of $\omega(k+1)$ with linewidth $\tau(k+1)$ and decrease I then $\dot{X}$ decreases and eventually the system jumps discontinuously from the $\omega(k+1)$ line to the $\omega(k)$ line at a different value of I (than the increasing I case) because $\tau(k+1)$ depends differently on $\dot{X}$ than $\tau(k)$ does.

Their third problem requiring solution is “can the prediction of the high wave number resonances be experimentally observed?” As we discussed thoroughly in Sec. II, the resonance $2\pi j\dot{X}/a$ for $j=2,3..$ are observable if the system is discrete enough i.e., if (π/l_0) is sufficiently large or equivalently when Λ is sufficiently small. The more discrete the system the more j values that are observed. There is a maximum j determined by the discreteness that occurs when the kink becomes trapped in a PN well.

We conclude with the observation that the explicit solution for the steady state radiation intensity and the explicit solution for $\tau(k, X)$ can be obtained by solving for the steady state solution of the linear Eq. (2.4) and calculating the radiation relaxation time, τ , in first order perturbation theory by the methods in [4] and [7].

REFERENCES

1. S. Watanabe, H.S.J. Vander Zant, S.H. Strogatz, and T.P. Orlando, Physica D **97**, 429 (1996) and references therein.
2. R. Boesch, C.R. Willis and M. El-Batanouny, Phys. Rev.B **40**, 2284 (1989).
3. M. Peyrard and M.D. Kruskal, Physica D **14**, 88 (1984).
4. C.R. Willis, Phys. Rev. E **55**, 6097 (1997).
5. C. Kittel, *Introduction to Solid State Physics*, Sixth Edition, John Wiley, N.Y., (1986).
6. E.N. Economou, *Green's Functions in Quantum Physics*, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1983).
7. R. Boesch and C.R. Willis, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 2290 (1990).